

Exercise 3.1 Smooth min-entropy in the i.i.d. limit

The smooth min-entropy of a random variable X over \mathcal{X} is defined as

$$H_{\min}^{\epsilon}(X)_P = \max_{Q_X \in \mathcal{B}^{\epsilon}(P_X)} H_{\min}(X)_Q, \quad (1)$$

where the maximum is taken over all probability distributions Q_X that are ϵ -close to P_X . Furthermore, we define an i.i.d. random variable $\vec{X} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ on $\mathcal{X}^{\times n}$ with $P_{\vec{X}}(\vec{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n P_X(x_i)$.

Use the weak law of large numbers to show that the smooth min-entropy converges to the Shannon entropy $H(X)$ in the i.i.d. limit:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H_{\min}^{\epsilon}(\vec{X})_{P^n} = H(X)_P. \quad (2)$$

Exercise 3.2 Quantum-Telepathy Game: Introduction

- a) Let's start by looking at a simple game where two players, Alice (P_1) and Bob (P_2), agree on a strategy. Then, each receive one qubit of the quantum state:

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|+-\rangle + |-+\rangle), \quad (3)$$

in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, where $|+\rangle = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|-\rangle = (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. The players cannot communicate once they get their qubits, and they must output two bits x_1 and x_2 . They win if $x_1 \neq x_2$.

- i) Find projective measurements that the players can perform so that they always get opposite outcomes x_1 and x_2 , and therefore can use their outcomes to win the game.
 - ii) Explain how this game can be won without using $|\phi\rangle$.
- b) Now we consider a more complicated game with 3 players. Initially, each player controls one qubit of the quantum state

$$|\Psi_{-}^3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|000\rangle - |111\rangle). \quad (4)$$

Two of the three players, P_1 and P_2 , will be chosen randomly and separated from the third player (and also each other) so that they cannot communicate with one another. For simplicity of notation, assume that P_1 and P_2 are Alice and Bob. The third player, Charlie (P_3), will then perform a measurement on his qubit and will have one of two outcomes. Depending on the outcome, Charlie will choose a bit b to be either 0 or 1. He then forwards b to Alice and Bob. Finally Alice and Bob each output a bit: x_1 and x_2 . They win if $x_1 \neq x_2$.

In order to use the quantum state they share to their advantage, Alice and Bob want to perform measurements (which depend on the bit b they received) such that they get different outcomes.

- i) First, rewrite the state $|\phi\rangle$ in the computational basis ($\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ for each qubit).
- ii) What projective measurement should Charlie do so that after one of the outcomes (where he chooses $b = 0$), the other two players are left with the state $|\phi\rangle$ from part (a) (Eqn. 3)? Note that if we project onto a state $|\tau\rangle$ on system 3, then the post-measurement state, given an initial pure state $|\Phi\rangle$, is given by:

$$\frac{(\mathbb{1}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \langle \tau |_3) |\Phi\rangle}{|(\mathbb{1}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \langle \tau |_3) |\Phi\rangle|},$$

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the identity operator on a qubit space.

- iii) What is the state $|\psi\rangle$ that Alice and Bob share after Charlie gets the other outcome ($b = 1$)? Write $|\psi\rangle$ in the basis $\{|\odot\rangle = (|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}, |\oslash\rangle = (|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}\}$.
- iv) What projective measurements should Alice and Bob do in order to get different results from the state $|\psi\rangle$?

Exercise 3.3 Quantum-Telepathy Game: The Full Story

Now we consider the full quantum-telepathy game. The game starts with n collaborating players P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n who each have a qubit of a large state $|\Psi\rangle$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$. In other words, player P_i has control of the qubit in the space \mathcal{H}_i .

Then two of them will be randomly selected and separated from the other players. These two players, let's label them P_1 and P_2 , are separated without the knowledge of which other player was selected, and they cannot communicate with any of the players, including each other.

The remaining $n - 2$ players are allowed to communicate with each other, and to perform measurements on the qubits they each control. They can then send a bit b (either 0 or 1) to the two separated players (the same bit for both). P_1 and P_2 then output bits x_1 and x_2 respectively. They win the game if $x_1 \neq x_2$.

Classically, this game is won with a probability of at most 75% for large n . Can we use quantum mechanics to improve this result?

We know from the previous exercise that the game will always be won if the last three players share the state $|\Psi_-^3\rangle$. In particular, you should have found measurements for the third player that always give one of two post-measurement states for the other two players:

$$\mathcal{M}_3^{b_3=0}(|\Psi_-^3\rangle) \rightarrow |\Psi_-^2\rangle, \quad \mathcal{M}_3^{b_3=1}(|\Psi_-^3\rangle) \rightarrow |\Psi_+^2\rangle,$$

where $\Psi_\pm^n = (|0\rangle^{\otimes n} \pm |1\rangle^{\otimes n})/\sqrt{2}$ and $\mathcal{M}_k^{b_k}$ denotes the (normalized) projector for a measurement on qubit k with outcome b_k . Now that we have $n - 2$ players instead of just P_3 , it would be sufficient if we have a measurement, \mathcal{M} , for each of the $n - 2$ players such that

$$\mathcal{M}_3^{b_3} \circ \mathcal{M}_4^{b_4} \dots \circ \mathcal{M}_n^{b_n}(|\Psi_\pm^n\rangle) \rightarrow |\Psi_+^2\rangle \text{ or } |\Psi_-^2\rangle, \quad \text{depending on } \{b_3, \dots, b_n\}.$$

- a) Use the same measurement you found in 3.2 (b) (ii) to find the possible results of $\mathcal{M}_n(|\Psi_\pm^n\rangle)$. Specifically, find $M_n^0(|\Psi_+^n\rangle)$, $M_n^1(|\Psi_+^n\rangle)$, $M_n^0(|\Psi_-^n\rangle)$, and $M_n^1(|\Psi_-^n\rangle)$.
- b) Given the above results, work out a detailed quantum strategy that always wins this game.